

# Historicism

---

## Introduction

Though there are theories of evolutionary biology surrounding the homo sapiens' inclination towards collectivism being driven on the factors of mating, social behaviour, hunting and general survival, there is much more to be understood on this matter in the realms of neuroscience, physics and psychology(NPP). In particular, the modalities of thought shaped through theological, ontological and religious inquiry and how such thought may too very well be the consequence of phenomena, such as biology, as can be understood through the realms of NPP.

*Oh the ferocity. The intensity of life's pulsating nature. Rising as a plot to help conscious realizations move forward. The concept of historicism is worth being explored here. It is certain that many are influenced by historicist thinkers, but there seems to be a very naturally deducible manner by which the human mind comes to believe or even intuit and grok the notion of historicism.*

TODO: Expand on acceptance of theology demanding collectivism for the realization of its prophecies

- Understanding the visual cortex
- A Cortex in Spacetime
- Test of Being
- Mephistophelean Accountability

## The Historicist Mind

### Suppositions

- The human mind has expectations for the future
- It sees expected events come to pass / become realized
- It reflects on past events
- Historical reference necessitates ordering events as per a single world-line
- The question is whether the path of history could have differed from what has occurred

### Hypothesis

- Events do not perfectly fulfill the conception
- This does not disprove the prospect of a linear, single dimension on a set track, moving forward through a sequence of events which must occur before the inevitable arrival of a fully-realized/actualized expression of reality Why is this? Because:
  1. Though unfulfilled expectations are disheartening, they reveal that more is yet to come -> reveal the contradictions
  2. Events can occur which are in some respect unexpected, but their impact on perception can induce such significant reflection on their previous understanding of their own conceptions (their discernible forms, declared representations and composition) that it leads to revision of these conceptions (speculation, reflection)

3. This suggests a dialectic transformation which not only revises history in the mind in order to align with the proposition of a single linear path, but proves that the mechanism for moving through history is, indeed, a dialectic process which transforms the subject into the object.

Fundamentally, one does instantiate a sensorial and semantically complete frame of perception in a local modality. As one's own experience always maintains the basic start->end sequence, there is already the structure of a complete epic. If there is to be a base feature of meaning from a temporal life, then it is through accepting that the more difficult portions were endured in contrast to other portions that were not as bad. This is a common feature of human experience that can be reasonably agreed upon, though we can also enumerate the wonderful, beautiful, magnificent, ecstatic and so forth, it really is not necessary, so long as there is at least agreement that there exists disparity between the worst/most difficult moments from the rest. Should that not be enough to come into a perception that is fond of or motivated by a historicist outlook?

This comes through during the visceral experience of longing for a reprieve when dealing with great difficulty.

## Logical Conclusion

But expected from what? The binary of accepting or rejecting the construct of reality:

- its forms and features
- the movement made possible through it (material transformation)
- the biological aspect of one's experience
- the ability to self-perceive or approach it

## Algorithm (concept/abstract)

Reflect on self/experience (speculation) Accept proposition of moving forward (history advances), or abandonment Introspection through speculation leads to requirement to transcend (sentiment? what is the biological description of the experience?) Speculation/reflection vs ascension/transcendence?

Is it the case that those who desire identity supremacy simply want to transcend human limitations? Well, you don't transcend through demanding that others change. We can only transcend by moving higher, not just by reference, but first through visualization.

You envision your ascension and make a decision to embody it, therefore one must never interpret demands for other wickedness to cease preventing ascension of the noble. Ascension is first demonstrated.

## Discussion

So the question is, how does one visualize their ascension if one does not also view oneself as the principal actor in the given/relevant scenarios?

That one considers an activity or scenario as being a component of universal ascension while not having the event be interfaced by first order through the body indicates that their subjective conception of the world is being instantiated through the event. That is, the event propagates them into the world and is thus universal and a form of ascension, and though they are removed from the experience of the event in a material sense, their experience of it is occurring in conception and speculation of the event. This is their ascension and the belief that their influence is synonymous with a moral progression.

